



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ON THE INTERSECTION OF TWO CONICS HAVING A COMMON FOCUS.

BY JULIAN LOWELL COOLIDGE.

THE problem of constructing the common points or tangents of two conics, determined by a number of given points or tangents, is, in general, of the fourth degree, and consequently beyond the reach of the machinery allowed in elementary geometry. When two common points or tangents are given, the remaining common elements may be constructed by means of a ruler and completely given conic; but even here, the actual construction is often laborious. In the special cases indicated in the title of this paper, there happens to be a very simple construction by means of ruler and compass.

Suppose that C_1 be the common focus of two conics, and that we have besides at least three points or tangents of each given. Take any circle c_1^2 with its centre at C_1 . The polar reciprocal of each of these conics with regard to c_1^2 is, of course, a circle. The pole or polar of any line or point with regard to c_1^2 may be constructed by means of ruler and compass, hence we may construct three points or tangents to each circle reciprocal to our given conics. The circles themselves may then be constructed and, by elementary geometry, their common tangents. The poles of these lines should then be found, and these will be the intersections required. It should be noticed that, if our conics are given by points, we shall need four points of each to make our construction unique, for we see in the reciprocal case, that four circles may be constructed tangent to three given lines.

An interesting application of the foregoing construction, appears in connection with the problem of Apollonius: *to construct a circle tangent to three given circles*. Let the circles be called c_1^2, c_2^2, c_3^2 , their centres C_1, C_2, C_3 and radii r_1, r_2, r_3 . For the sake of definiteness, we will suppose that each lies wholly without the others, while $r_1 > r_2$ and $r_1 > r_3$. If C be the centre of a circle tangent externally to c_1^2 and c_2^2 , or tangent to and surrounding both, we see that $CC_1 - CC_2 = r_1 - r_2$. The locus of such points is, then, a hyperbola with one focus at C_1 and the other at C_2 . We may construct as many points C as we please; for if r be any convenient length we merely have to find the intersection of a circle with centre C_1 and radius $r_1 + r$, with one having centre C_2 and radius $r_2 + r$. This will be one of the required points C .

A like form of construction will give points on a hyperbola having an analogous relation to the circles c_1^2, c_3^2 . The two hyperbolas will have the common focus C_1 , hence their intersections may be found by the construction outlined above. There will be four of these points, but, as we shall presently see, two must be rejected; the other two will be centres of circles fulfilling the given condition.*

The problem of the number of solutions may be notably simplified by the consideration that there are just as many solutions in the general case, as in the special case where the centres of the given circles all lie on a straight line. For a circle with its centre at the point of concurrence of the radical axes, and radius equal to the tangent thence to any one of them, will cut all three orthogonally. If we take a centre of inversion on this new circle at a point without all three of the given circles, and invert the whole figure, we shall get three new circles cutting the same straight line orthogonally, that is, having collinear centres. Circles touching all three of the original circles, will invert into others touching all the new ones, and conversely. No one of the new circles will surround another, for, as we move along the line of centres, we shall not intersect one circle, while tracing a diameter of another. We may use the old notation without confusion, calling the new circle which lies between the other two c_1^2 and noticing that the former inequality existing between the radii may fall away. We may, as before, find two hyperbolas, with the common focus C_1 ; and we shall see that they are turned in opposite ways with regard to C_1 . They will then meet in four real points, on the same branch of one curve, and in pairs on the two branches of the other. Two of these must be rejected as they will be points whence circles may be drawn tangent externally to one pair of circles and internally to the other. The other two will be points sought. There will be, besides these hyperbolas, two others. One will be the locus of centres of circles touching c_1^2 externally and c_2^2 internally, or *vice versa*; the other will be the analogous curve for c_1^2, c_3^2 . Each pair of hyperbolas will give two solutions, so that the total number, when the given circles lie exterior to one another, is eight.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY, JANUARY, 1900.

* The idea of solving the Apollonian problem by means of the intersection of hyperbolas is certainly not new. Adrianus Romanus tried to carry out such a solution. Cf. Cajori. *A History of Mathematics* p. 154, New York, 1884.